

## **Scientific Review Template**

1) S	Scientific quality of the research (1= poor	; 2=weak; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent;
	Comments:	

- 2) Novelty and originality of the research (1=poor; 2-weak; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent)

  Comments:
- 3) Merits of the main applicant (1=poor; 2=weak; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent) Comments:
- 4) Feasibility of the onboard research to achieve scientific objective of the project. (1=poor; 2=weak; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent)

  Comments:

Total score:

- 5) Early Career (11 years since completing PhD)?
- 6) Does the project have research funding from a Swedish or other funding body, for the research that is being proposed (i.e. for additional voyage costs, salaries, post processing of samples etc.) (Yes, No, or proposal is currently submitted)

Gender (we would like to try and have as close to a balance as possible, noting that scientific excellence is still the main criteria)

Reviewer comments: